



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,318	06/10/2005	Fabrizio Pucci	4462-14	5302
23117	7590	07/26/2007	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			1722	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/26/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/533,318	PUCCI ET AL.
	Examiner Joseph Leyson	Art Unit 1722

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2007.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 53-83 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 53-72 and 79-83 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 73-78 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 53-72 and 79-83 are allowed.
2. Claims 73-78 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 67-72, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest the device, as recited by claims 53-72, 79 and 80, for removing doses of plastic material from the extruder and delivering the doses to the forming apparatus in which the doses are compression-moulded, the device comprising the at least one removal element which is movable with respect to the extruder for removing the dose and is provided with the receptacle for receiving the dose removed from the outflow orifice of the extruder, the removal element comprising the severing element that is adapted to cut into the plastic material dispensed by the extruder along the severing edge that advances through the material from one side of the orifice to the other, the receptacle being shaped so as to accommodate the dose and allow expulsion thereof substantially in the direction of the severing edge, the receptacle retaining the dose while the at least one removing element moves from the first position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the extruder, to the second position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the forming apparatus, the receptacle depositing the dose into the recess associated to the

forming apparatus and defining the molding chamber for the dose, wherein the severing edge defines the leading portion of the receptacle which is more advanced than the trailing portion of the receptacle in the intended direction of movement of the severing element; or the device, as recited by claims 81-83, 81, for removing doses of plastic material from the extruder and delivering the doses to the forming apparatus in which the doses are compression-moulded, the device comprising the at least one removal element which is movable with respect to the extruder for removing the dose and is provided with the receptacle for receiving the dose removed from the outflow orifice of the extruder, the removal element comprising the severing element that is adapted to cut into the plastic material dispensed by the extruder along the severing edge that advances through the material from one side of the orifice to the other, the removal element being provided with the inlet passageway which is partially bound by the severing edge and through which the dose is receivable into the receptacle, wherein the removal element is further provided with the outlet passageway transversely oriented with respect to the severing edge and through which the dose may be expelled from the receptacle, the receptacle retaining the dose while the at least one removing element moves from the first position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the extruder, to the second position, in which the at least one removing element interacts with the forming apparatus, the receptacle depositing the dose into the recess associated to the forming apparatus and defining the molding chamber for the dose.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters, mentioned above (i.e., the claim objection).

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Leyson whose telephone number is (571) 272-5061. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gupta Yogendra can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300/1700

7/23/07